IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITE	ED STATES OF AMERICA)		
VS.)	CASE NO.:	3:13-CR-066-M (02)
ERIKA	A ANN MONK LOVEJOY, Defendant.)		
	ORDER ACCEPTING REP UNITED STATES MAGISTRA			
Magist U.S.C. Magist Court a	After reviewing all relevant matters of recent of the defendant, and the Report and Restrate Judge, and no objections thereto having. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District Judgetrate Judge concerning the Plea of Guilty is accepts the plea of guilty, and ERIKA AND posed in accordance with the Court's scheduling.	Recommendation Cong been filed within dge is of the opinion is correct, and it is he N MONK LOVEJO	oncerning Plea of Guilty fourteen days of service n that the Report and Reereby accepted by the Co	of the United States in accordance with 28 commendation of the ourt. Accordingly, the
	The defendant is ordered to remain in cus	stody.		
⊠	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).			
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).			
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than			
	The defendant is not ordered detained pu ☐ There is a substantial likelihood to ☐ The Government has recommend ☐ This matter shall be set for hearing of release for determination, by conflee or pose a danger to any other	that a motion for acded that no sentence ng before the United clear and convincing	quittal or new trial will b of imprisonment be impostates Magistrate Judges gevidence, of whether the	e granted, or osed, and who set the conditions defendant is likely to
	The defendant is not ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed a motion alleging that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2). This matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination of whether it has been clearly shown that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), and whether it has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).			
	SIGNED this 25th day of September, 201	13. // ,	7199	

BARBARA M. G. LYNN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS